

Rahall	Shows	Tierney
Rangel	Shuster	Toomey
Regula	Simpson	Towns
Reyes	Sisisky	Trafficant
Reynolds	Skeen	Turner
Riley	Skelton	Udall (CO)
Rivers	Slaughter	Udall (NM)
Rodriguez	Smith (MI)	Upton
Roemer	Smith (NJ)	Velazquez
Rogan	Smith (TX)	Visclosky
Rogers	Snyder	Vitter
Rohrabacher	Souder	Walden
Ros-Lehtinen	Spence	Walsh
Rothman	Spratt	Wamp
Roukema	Stabenow	Waters
Roybal-Allard	Stark	Watkins
Rush	Stenholm	Watt (NC)
Ryan (WI)	Strickland	Watts (OK)
Ryun (KS)	Stump	Waxman
Sabo	Stupak	Weiner
Salmon	Sununu	Weldon (FL)
Sanchez	Sweeney	Weldon (PA)
Sanders	Talent	Weller
Sandlin	Tanner	Wexler
Sawyer	Tauscher	Weygand
Saxton	Tauzin	Whitfield
Scarborough	Taylor (MS)	Wicker
Schakowsky	Taylor (NC)	Wilson
Scott	Terry	Wise
Serrano	Thomas	Wolf
Sessions	Thompson (CA)	Woolsey
Shadegg	Thompson (MS)	Wu
Shaw	Thornberry	Wynn
Sherman	Thune	Young (FL)
Sherwood	Thurman	
Shimkus	Tiahrt	

NAYS—19

Andrews	Luther	Sensenbrenner
Castle	Paul	Shays
Doggett	Peterson (MN)	Smith (WA)
Gibbons	Ramstad	Stearns
Goodlatte	Royce	Tancredo
Inlee	Sanford	
Johnson, E. B.	Schaffer	

NOT VOTING—8

Clay	Markey	Vento
Cook	Martinez	Young (AK)
Delahunt	McIntosh	

□ 0027

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REPORT ON EXPANDED THREAT REDUCTION INITIATIVE—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 263)

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE) laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

Enclosed is a report to the Congress on the Expanded Threat Reduction Initiative, as required by section 1309 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65).

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.
THE WHITE HOUSE, June 27, 2000.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 1598

Mr. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be removed as a cosponsor to the bill H.R. 1598, the Patent Fairness Act of 1999.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

PERMISSION FOR CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET TO INSERT COMMUNICATIONS IN THE RECORD

Mr. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. KASICH) be permitted to insert Committee on the Budget communications into the RECORD at this point.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

REVISIONS TO ALLOCATION FOR HOUSE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, in accordance with section 218 of H. Con. Res. 290, I hereby submit for printing in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD adjustments to the 302(a) allocation for the House Committee on Agriculture, set forth in H. Rept. 106-577, to reflect \$5.5 billion in additional new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2000 and \$1.640 billion in new budget authority and outlays for both fiscal year 2000 and \$1.640 billion in new budget authority and outlays for both fiscal year 2001 and for the period of fiscal years 2001 through 2005.

Section 218 of H. Con. Res. 290 authorizes the Chairman of the House Budget Committee to increase the 302(a) allocation of the Committee on Agriculture for a conference report on a bill that provides assistance for producers of program crops and specialty crops. Under the terms of section 218, the adjustments is in the amount of budget authority provided by that bill for the specified purpose but may not exceed \$5.5 billion in new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2000 and \$1.640 billion in new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2001.

This adjustment is for the conference report accompanying H.R. 2559 (H. Rept. 106-300).

If you have any questions, please contact Jim Bates of my staff at 6-7270.

STATUS REPORT ON CURRENT SPENDING LEVELS OF ON-BUDGET SPENDING AND REVENUES FOR FY 2000 AND THE 5-YEAR PERIOD FY 2000 THROUGH FY 2004

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, to facilitate application of sections 302 and 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, I am transmitting a status report on the current levels of on-budget spending and revenues for fiscal year 2000 and for the 5-year period of fiscal year 2000 through fiscal year 2004.

The term "current level" refers to the amounts of spending and revenues estimated for each fiscal year based on laws enacted or awaiting the President's signature as of June 15, 2000.

The first table in the report compares the current level of total budget authority, outlays, and revenues with the aggregate levels set by

H. Con. Res. 290. This comparison is needed to implement section 311(a) of the Budget Act, which creates a point of order against measures that would breach the budget resolution's aggregate levels. The table does not show budget authority and outlays for years after fiscal year 2000.

The second table compares the current levels of budget authority and outlays of each direct spending committee with the "section 302(a)" allocations for discretionary action made under H. Con. Res. 290 for fiscal year 2000 and fiscal years 2000 through 2004. "Discretionary action" refers to legislation enacted after adoption of the budget resolution. This comparison is needed to implement section 302(f) of the Budget Act, which creates a point of order against measures that would breach the section 302(a) discretionary action allocation of new budget authority for the committee that reported the measure. It is also needed to implement section 311(b), which exempts committees that comply with their allocations from the point of order under section 311(a).

The third table compares the current levels of discretionary appropriations for fiscal year 2000 with the revised "section 302(a)" sub-allocations of discretionary budget authority and outlays among Appropriations subcommittees. This comparison is also needed to implement section 302(f) of the Budget Act because the point of order under that section also applies to measures that would breach the applicable section 302(b) sub-allocation.

The fourth table compares discretionary appropriations to the levels provided by section 251(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. Section 251 requires that, if at the end of a session discretionary spending in any category exceeds the limits set forth in section 251(c) (as adjusted pursuant to provisions of section 251(b)), there shall be a sequestration of funds within that category to bring spending within the established limits. This table is provided for information purposes only. Determination of the need for a sequestration is based on the report of the President required by section 254.

REPORT TO THE SPEAKER FROM THE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET—STATUS OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2000 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET ADOPTED IN H. CON. RES. 290

(Reflecting action completed as of June 15, 2000—On-budget amounts, in millions of dollars)

	Fiscal year 2000	Fiscal year 2000–2004
Appropriate level (as amended):		
Budget authority	1,471,750	(1)
Outlays	1,453,390	(1)
Revenues	1,465,500	7,768,100
Current level:		
Budget authority	1,465,562	(1)
Outlays	1,44,558	(1)
Revenues	1,465,492	7,871,246
Current level over (+)/under (–) appropriate level:		
Budget authority	– 6,188	(1)
Outlays	– 8,832	(1)
Revenues	– 8	103,146

¹ Not applicable because annual appropriations Acts for Fiscal Year 2001 through 2004 will not be considered until future sessions of Congress.

BUDGET AUTHORITY

Enactment of any measure providing new budget authority for FY 2000 of more than \$6,188,000,000 (if not already included in the current level estimate) would cause FY 2000 budget authority to exceed the appropriate level set by H. Con. Res. 290.

OUTLAYS

Enactment of any measure providing new outlays for FY 2000 of more than